arXiv:1410.2459v2 [math.AG] 22 Oct 2014

NONVANISHING OF CONFORMAL BLOCKS DIVISORS ON $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$

PRAKASH BELKALE, ANGELA GIBNEY AND SWARNAVA MUKHOPADHYAY

Abstract. We introduce and study the problem of finding necessary and sufficient conditions under which a conformal blocks divisor on $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$ is nonzero. We give necessary conditions in type A, which are sufficient when theta and critical levels coincide. We show that divisors are subject to additive identities, dependent on ranks of the underlying bundle. These identities amplify vanishing and nonvanishing results and have other applications.

1. Introduction

From the data of a simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, a positive integer ℓ, and an n-tuple $\vec{\lambda}$, of dominant integral weights for $\mathfrak{g}$ at level ℓ, one can use representation theory to construct on the moduli spaces $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$, of stable n-pointed rational curves, a vector bundle $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$. The fiber $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell}|_x$ over a point $x \in \overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$ is isomorphic to a vector space of conformal blocks, and $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ is referred to as a vector bundle of conformal blocks [TUY89] (also see [Fak12, Sor96, Tsu93]).

The vector bundles $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ are globally generated: There is a surjection

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda}} = \mathrm{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda}} \times \overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathrm{n}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell}, \quad \text{where } \mathrm{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda}} = (\otimes_{i=1}^n V_{\lambda_i})_{\mathfrak{g}} = \frac{\otimes_{i=1}^n V_{\lambda_i}}{\mathfrak{g}(\otimes_{i=1}^n V_{\lambda_i})}$$

is the vector space of coinvariants, the largest quotient space on which $\mathfrak{g}$ acts trivially. Here V_λ is the irreducible finite dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ with highest weight λ. This gives rise to a morphism $f_{\mathbb{V}}$ from $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathrm{n}}$ to the Grassmannian of $\operatorname{rk} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ quotients of $\mathrm{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda}}$:

$$\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathrm{n}} \xrightarrow{f_{\mathbb{V}}} \mathrm{Grass}^{quo}(\operatorname{rk} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell}, \mathrm{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda}}), \quad x \mapsto \quad (\mathrm{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell}|_x). \tag{1.1}$$

The conformal blocks divisor $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell} = c_1(\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell})$, is then responsible for the composition of $f_{\mathbb{V}}$ with the Plücker embedding p of the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Grass}^{quo}(\operatorname{rk} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell}, \mathrm{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda}})$ into $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^{N-1}$, where $N = \binom{\dim \mathrm{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda}}}{\operatorname{rk} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda}}}$. In particular, when $\dim \mathrm{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda}} = \operatorname{rk} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$, the morphism $p \circ f_{\mathbb{V}}$ contracts $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$ to a point, and the conformal blocks divisor $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ is zero. We denote $p \circ f_{\mathbb{V}}$ by $\phi_{\mathbb{D}}$.

The Verlinde formula gives the rank of $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ [Sor96, Thm 4.2.2], and the dimension of the vector space of coinvariants can be computed, allowing one to compare these values. Using other approaches in type A, in [BGM14], we show when ℓ surpasses either the *critical* or *theta levels* associated to a pair $(\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}, \vec{\lambda})$, then the conformal blocks divisor $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ vanishes.

However, even for low n, and for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}$, for r very small, there are many examples (first found by Fakhruddin, using [Swi10]) where $0 < \operatorname{rk} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell} < \operatorname{rk} \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda}}$, while the divisor $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ is zero. For example, the rank of $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_4,\{\omega_1,(2\omega_1+\omega_3)^3\},3}$ on $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,4}$ is one, while the dimension of the vector space of coinvariants $\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{sl}_4,\{\omega_1,(2\omega_1+\omega_3)^3\}}$ is 2. A calculation shows that

$\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_4,\{\omega_1,(2\omega_1+\omega_3)^3\},3} = 0$. In this case, as we explain in Section 2.5, one can decompose the divisor (in this case, a point) as the following sum

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_4,\{\omega_1,2\omega_1+\omega_3,2\omega_1+\omega_3,2\omega_1+\omega_3\},3} = \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_4,\{\omega_1,\dots,\omega_1\},1} + \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_4,\{0,\omega_1+\omega_3,\omega_1+\omega_3,\omega_1+\omega_3\},2}.$$

Both of the divisors on the right hand side turn out to be trivial for simple reasons.

This has led us to ask the following:

Question 1.1. *What are necessary and sufficient conditions for a triple* $(\mathfrak{g}, \vec{\lambda}, \ell)$ *that guarantee that the associated conformal blocks divisor* $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ *is nonzero?*

Determining when $\operatorname{rk} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ (resp. $\operatorname{rk} \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda}}$) is nonzero is a classical problem in representation theory [Ful00, Bel08]. Frequently, there is an inductive structure, indicating that nonzeroness is controlled by smaller dimensional Lie algebras (in type A). One could ask if there are similar inductive non-vanishing results for the first (and higher) Chern classes of $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$; Question 1.1 belongs to this line of inquiry (see Lemma 3.7). We also note that this question does not seem to be amenable to exact numerical formulas [Fak12] for the Chern classes (and ranks cf. [Sor96]).

As described earlier, conformal blocks divisors are base point free and so give rise to morphisms from $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$ to other projective varieties. In order to study the maps they define, one is interested in which curves the divisors contract. The question of vanishing is at its heart a practical matter, first to establish whether the map associated to a given divisor is trivial, and then if not trivial, to understand its image. Recent groundbreaking work [CT14, GK14] has shown that $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$ is not a Mori Dream Space for $n \geq 13$, and begs one to rethink the long held hope that there may be only a finite number of extremal rays of $\operatorname{Nef}(\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n})$. Question 1.1 relates to this problem, since there are potentially an infinite number of distinct nonzero conformal blocks divisors that span extremal rays of the nef cone (also see Remark 4.8).

Overview:

(1) We simplify Question 1.1 for divisors of any type by decomposition of weights and level. In particular, we show that if certain rank conditions are satisfied, conformal blocks divisor classes are subject to additive relations (Proposition 2.1). Combining this with a quantum generalization of Fulton's conjecture, we prove a scaling identity for divisors associated to rank one bundles (Corollary 2.4), amplifying our nonvanishing results. In other applications, we exhibit non-trivial conformal blocks divisors on $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$ for all $n \geq 5$, with non-zero weights, that do not give rise to a birational morphism (Section 2.4), and we show how mysterious vanishing of a particular divisor can be explained by mundane facts about its constituents (as in the examples in Section 2.5).

(2) It was shown in [BGM14] that in type A, divisors $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ vanish if ℓ is above the so-called critical or theta levels (described in Defs 1.3 and 1.4). The critical level is defined only for type A, while the theta level is defined for divisors in all types. Here we prove that in all types, divisors vanish if ℓ is above the theta level (Lemma 3.2).

(3) We simplify Question 1.1 for divisors in type A by decomposition of the Lie algebra. In particular, the action of the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ corresponding to the highest root gives a relationship between $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ with a tensor product of conformal block bundles for Lie algebras of smaller rank. In Theorems 1.6 and 3.9, we give sufficient nonvanishing conditions for $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$, which we show are also necessary when the critical and theta levels coincide and are equal to ℓ. We do this by first proving a stronger statement for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, where we answer the question completely (Corollary 3.6). We then use the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ statement and an argument using parabolic bundles, to obtain results for $\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}$.

(4) We apply our methods to show nonvanishing of two infinite families of critical level divisors. For the family $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\omega_1^n,\ell}$, $n=(r+1)(\ell+1)$, we characterize all boundary curves that are contracted, showing that if the S_n-invariant F-Conjecture holds, then these divisors give the reduction morphisms to certain moduli spaces $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathcal{A}}$ defined by Hassett (Section 4). For a related family, we show that while the associated morphisms factor through maps to Hassett spaces, they don't necessarily give them (Section 5).

To describe our results more precisely, we set a small amount of notation.

1.0.1. *Notation.* For a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and a positive integer ℓ, called the level, let $P_\ell(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the set of dominant integral weights λ with $(\lambda,\theta)\leq\ell$. Here θ is the highest root, and $(\ ,\ )$ is the Killing form, normalized so that $(\theta,\theta)=2$. To a triple $(\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell)$, such that $\vec{\lambda}\in P_\ell(\mathfrak{g})^n$, there corresponds a vector bundle $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ of conformal blocks on the stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ [TUY89, Sor96].

Finite dimensional irreducible polynomial representations for GL_{r+1} are parameterized by Young diagrams $\lambda=(\lambda^{(1)}\geq\lambda^{(2)}\geq\cdots\geq\lambda^{(r)}\geq\lambda^{(r+1)}\geq 0)$. We note that two Young diagrams λ and μ give the same representation of SL_{r+1} (equivalently $\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}$) if $\lambda^{(a)}-\mu^{(a)}$ is a constant independent of a. We use the notation $|\lambda|=\sum_{i=1}^r\lambda^{(i)}$, and $\lambda\in P_\ell(\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1})$ if and only if $\lambda^{(1)}-\lambda^{(r+1)}\leq\ell$. We will say that λ is normalized if $\lambda^{(r+1)}=0$. The normalization of λ is the Young diagram $\lambda-\lambda^{(r+1)}\cdot(1,1,\ldots,1)$.

1.1. **Additive identities dependent on ranks.** In Section 2, we give the following criteria for decomposing a divisor as an effective sum of simpler conformal blocks divisors.

Proposition 1.2. *Given $\vec{\mu}\in P_\ell(\mathfrak{g})^n$, and $\vec{\nu}\in P_m(\mathfrak{g})^n$ with $\operatorname{rk}\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\mu},\ell}=1$, and $\operatorname{rk}\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\mu}+\vec{\nu},\ell+m}=\operatorname{rk}\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\nu},m}=\delta$. Then*

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\mu}+\vec{\nu},\ell+m}=\delta\cdot\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\mu},\ell}+\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\nu},m}.$$

1.1.1. *Applications.* Using Proposition 1.2 in conjunction with the quantum generalization of a conjecture of Fulton in invariant theory [Bel07] and [BK13, Remark 8.5], we show in Corollary 2.2 that if $\operatorname{rk}\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda},\ell}=1$, then

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},N\vec{\lambda},N\ell}=N\cdot\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda},\ell},\quad\forall N\in\mathbb{N}.$$

As an application, in Corollary 2.4, we identify images of the maps $\phi_{\mathbb{D}}$ for $\mathbb{D}=\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\ell\vec{\lambda},\ell}=\ell\cdot\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda},1}$, as the generalized Veronese quotients of [Gia13, GJM11].

Proposition 1.2 can be used to show that a divisor is nontrivial, by writing it as an effective sum of simpler divisors, and then showing one of the summands is nontrivial. In Section 2.4, we use Proposition 1.2 to give non-trivial conformal blocks divisors, with non-zero weights, that do not give birational morphisms. Such examples were not known before. One may also approach questions of mysterious vanishing in this way, seeing for example a divisor as a sum of divisors whose vanishing can be explained by other means (cf. Section 2.5).

1.2. **Vanishing above the theta level in all types.** In Lemma 3.2, we show that $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ is zero if ℓ is above the theta level. It was shown in [BGM14] that in type A, divisors $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ vanish if ℓ surpasses the critical or theta levels. The critical level (Def 1.3), comes from the relationship with conformal blocks in type A to quantum cohomology, and is valid only for divisors type A. The theta level (Def 1.4), comes from the interpretation of a vector space of conformal blocks as an explicit quotient [Bea96, FSV95], and holds in all types.

Definition 1.3. [BGM14] *Let $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ be an n-tuple of* normalized *integral weights for $\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}$, assume that $r+1$ divides $\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|$, and define the critical level for the pair $(\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}, \vec{\lambda})$ to be*

$$c(\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}, \vec{\lambda}) = -1 + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_i|.$$

One can define $c(\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}, \vec{\lambda})$ in general, by replacing each λ_i by its normalization. One refers to $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ as a critical level bundle if $\ell = c(\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}, \vec{\lambda})$ and $\vec{\lambda} \in P_\ell(\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1})^n$.

Definition 1.4. [BGM14] *Given a pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \vec{\lambda})$, one refers to*

$$\theta(\mathfrak{g}, \vec{\lambda}) = -1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i(H_\theta) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$$

as the theta level. Here H_θ is the co-root corresponding to the highest root θ.

1.3. **Necessary and sufficient conditions for non-vanishing of divisors in type** A. In Theorem 1.6 we answer Question 1.1 in case the critical and theta levels coincide and are equal to ℓ. To state the result, we describe how to associate two *auxiliary bundles* to $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$.

Definition 1.5. *(Auxiliary bundles) Given $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$, one forms the bundles $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2,\vec{\mu},\ell}$, and $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r-1},\vec{\nu},\ell}$, where for $i \in [n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$, μ_i correspond to the $2 \times \ell$ Young diagrams formed by the first and last rows of λ_i, and ν_i are given by the $(r-1) \times \ell$ diagram obtained by removing the first and last rows of λ_i. Note that ν_i may not be normalized, and can be zero.*

Theorem 1.6. *Let $\vec{\lambda} \in P_\ell(\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1})^n$, for $n \geq 4$, and suppose that $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2,\vec{\mu},\ell}$, and $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r-1},\vec{\nu},\ell}$ are the auxiliary bundles constructed above. If*

1. *$\ell = c(\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}, \vec{\lambda}) = \theta(\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}, \vec{\lambda})$;*
2. *$\lambda_i \neq 0$ for all $i \in [n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$, normalized; and*
3. *$\operatorname{rk} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2,\vec{\mu},\ell} > 0$,*

then $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda},\ell} \neq 0 \iff$ for $r \geq 3, \operatorname{rk} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r-1},\vec{\nu},\ell} > 0$.

The forward implication of Theorem 1.6 is a special case of Theorem 3.9, which provides a very general non-vanishing statement. In particular, Theorem 3.9 does not assume that the theta level equals the critical level, or that the level ℓ is critical.

We give applications of Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 3.9, using them to show families of divisors are nontrivial as we next explain.

1.4. **Nonvanishing of two families of critical level divisors.** In Section 4, using Theorem 3.9, and our results from [BGM14], we give a complete description of all boundary curves contracted by the nontrivial critical level divisors $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\omega_1^n,\ell}$ on $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$, where $n = (r+1)(\ell+1)$, for all $r > 1$ and $\ell > 1$.

In Section 5, we apply Theorem 1.6 and [BGM14], to show that divisors of the form $\mathbb{D}_{\vec{\alpha}} = \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\{\alpha_i\omega_1\}_{i=1}^n,\ell}$, for $\sum_i \alpha_i = (r+1)(\ell+1)$, with $n = 2(r+1)$ are nonzero.

These two families of critical level divisors are generalizations of those studied previously for $r = 1$ and $\ell = 1$ ($\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2,\omega_1^{2(\ell+1)},\ell}$ in [Fak12, GJMS12] and $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\omega_1^{2(r+1)},1}$ in [Fak12, Gia13]).

We show that when $r > 1$ and $\ell > 1$, in the first family $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\omega_1^n,\ell}$, where $n = (r+1)(\ell+1)$, the divisors contract exactly the same curves as maps $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$ to Hassett's moduli spaces $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathcal{A}}$, with weights $\mathcal{A} = (\frac{1}{\ell+r}, \ldots, \frac{1}{\ell+r})$ (Corollary 4.6). Hassett spaces are defined in Section 4.1.1. In particular, as we explain, if the S_n invariant F- conjecture holds, then the normalization of the image of their associated maps will be isomorphic to the Hassett spaces $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathcal{A}}$.

We show in Section 5, that while the morphisms given by the divisors $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\{\alpha_i\omega_1\}_i^n,\ell}$ factor through Hassett spaces $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathcal{A}}$, where $\mathcal{A} = (\frac{\alpha_1}{\ell+r}, \ldots, \frac{\alpha_n}{\ell+r})$, it is possible to exhibit vectors $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ so that $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\{\alpha_i\omega_1\}_i^n,\ell}$ contracts more curves than $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$. In particular, the images of the maps given by $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\{\alpha_i\omega_1\}_i^n,\ell}$ are not, in general, isomorphic to $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathcal{A}}$.

1.5. **A note on our methods.** The main results of this paper are proved by using identifications of conformal blocks to generalized theta functions [Pau96] and a version of the quantum generalization of the Horn conjecture [Bel08]. The applications use standard intersection theoretic computations on $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathrm{n}}$, the factorization formulas of [TUY89], and applications of the quantum cohomology of Grassmannians to conformal blocks [Wit95] (also [Bel08] and [BGM14]). We recommend the article of Sorger [Sor96] for a concise primer on the definition of conformal blocks.

It is an interesting question whether our results can be proved by numerical formulas for the first Chern classes [Fak12] and ranks (cf. [Sor96]) of conformal block bundles. We have not been able to do so because of difficulties with factorization data and Casimir values (also see [BGM14]).

1.6. **Acknowledgements.** We thank N. Fakhruddin, D. Jensen, A. Kazanova, S. Kumar, and H-B. Moon for useful discussions.

In [Fak12], Fakhruddin gives explicit formulas for the classes $c_1(\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell})$, and the intersections of $c_1(\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell})$ with F-Curves. These formulas have been implemented by Swinarski in Macaulay2 [Swi10], which we have used to do many examples.

P.B. and S.M. were supported on NSF grant DMS-0901249, and A.G. on DMS-1201268.

2. Additive identities between divisors when rank conditions are satisfied

In this section we prove Proposition 1.2 which shows that when certain rank conditions are satisfied, then divisors decompose as sums reflecting the decomposition of their weights and levels into sums. This result enables one to simplify questions of vanishing of a particular divisor into problems about its simpler constituent parts. But there have been more applications as well. For example, in [Kaz14] this result was used to prove that any S_n-invariant divisor for $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ on $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$ coming from a bundle of rank one was in fact a sum of level one divisors in type A. In particular, the cone generated by infinitely many such divisors is finitely generated.

2.1. **Behavior under tensor products.** Let G be a simple, simply connected algebraic group with Borel subgroup B and Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ denote the corresponding affine Lie algebra (see e.g., [Sor96]). For a dominant integral weight λ in $P_\ell(\mathfrak{g})$, let V_λ denote the corresponding finite dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ with highest weight λ. Let $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda,\ell}$ denote the corresponding irreducible representation of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Note that $V_\lambda \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{\lambda,\ell}$ (we simply write $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$ when the level ℓ is clear from the context).

As is explained for example in [Man09], if λ and ν are dominant integral weights in $P_\ell(\mathfrak{g})$ and $P_m(\mathfrak{g})$, then one has a canonical inclusion, mapping highest weight vectors to tensor products of highest weight vectors $\mathcal{H}_{\mu+\nu,\ell+m} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{\mu,\ell} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\nu,m}$, which restricts to a natural inclusion $V_{\mu+\nu} \subseteq V_\mu \otimes V_\nu$.

Suppose $\vec{\mu} = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n)$ and $\vec{\nu} = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n)$ are n-tuples of dominant integral weights in $P_\ell(\mathfrak{g})$ and $P_m(\mathfrak{g})$. There is a natural diagram of vector bundles on $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$, with surjective vertical arrows (cf. [Man09]).

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\mu}+\vec{\nu}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\mu}} \otimes \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\nu}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\mu}+\vec{\nu},\ell+m} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\mu},\ell} \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\nu},m}. \end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

Now suppose

$$\operatorname{rk} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\mu},\ell} = 1. \tag{2.2}$$

Then we claim

Proposition 2.1. *The map ϕ is a surjection. If*

$$\operatorname{rk} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\mu}+\vec{\nu},\ell+m} = \operatorname{rk} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\nu},m} = \delta, \tag{2.3}$$

then the map ϕ is an isomorphism, and hence

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\mu}+\vec{\nu},\ell+m} = \delta \cdot \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\mu},\ell} + \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\nu},m}.$$

Proof. We will assume (2.3) and show that the dual map of ϕ is an isomorphism (below we show that the dual map is always injective fiber wise under the assumption (2.2)).

Let y be an arbitrary closed point of $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$. Let u and v be non-zero elements of $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\mu},\ell}|_y^*$ and $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\nu},m}|_y^*$ respectively. Let $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}$ denote their (non-zero) images in $\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\mu}}|_y^*$ and $\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\nu}}|_y^*$

respectively. We want to show that the image of $\bar{u} \otimes \bar{v}$ in $\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\mu}+\vec{\nu}}|_y^*$ is non-zero. It suffices (using (2.2)) to prove the following (classical) statement: If α and β are non-zero elements in $A^*_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\mu}}$ and $A^*_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\nu}}$ respectively, then the image of $\alpha \otimes \beta$ under

$$A^*_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\mu}} \otimes A^*_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\nu}} \to A^*_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\mu}+\vec{\nu}}$$

is non-zero. Write commutative diagrams for each i

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G/B & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & G/B \times G/B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}(V_{\mu_i+\nu_i}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(V_{\mu_i} \otimes V_{\nu_i}) \end{array} \tag{2.4}$$

For every dominant integral weight λ there is a line bundle $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ on G/B whose global sections equal V_λ^* ($\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ is the pull back of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ via the map $G/B \to \mathbb{P}(V_\lambda)$). Note that $X = (G/B)^n$ carries a diagonal action of G. Define the following line bundle for every $\vec{\lambda}$: $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\lambda}} = \boxtimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_i}$. Note that $A^*_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda}} = H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_{\vec{\lambda}})^G$. Under the multiplication map (induced by n fold product of the diagram (2.4)), $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_{\vec{\mu}})^G \times H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_{\vec{\nu}})^G \to H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_{\vec{\mu}+\vec{\nu}})^G$, the image of $\alpha \times \beta$ is non-zero (because locally we are forming the product of non-zero functions on X). This implies the desired non-vanishing. □

2.2. **First application: scaling for divisors associated to rank one bundles.** Fulton conjectured that if $\operatorname{rk} \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda}} = 1$ then $\operatorname{rk} \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},N\vec{\lambda}} = 1 \ \forall \ N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. This was proved by Knutson, Tao and Woodward [KTW04]. The quantum generalization of Fulton's conjecture [Bel07, BK13] is the following: Suppose $\operatorname{rk} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda},\ell} = 1$ (ℓ is not necessarily the critical level) then $\operatorname{rk} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},N\vec{\lambda},N\ell} = 1$ for all positive integers N. Using this generalization and Proposition 2.1, we obtain (by induction):

Corollary 2.2. *If* $\operatorname{rk} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda},\ell} = 1$, *then* $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},N\vec{\lambda},N\ell} = N \cdot \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$, $\forall \ N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Remark 2.3. *Corollary 2.2 appears in case* $r = 1$ *and* $\vec{\lambda} = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_1)$ *in* [GJMS12]. *An analogous result for* $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2r+1}$ *appears in* [Muk14].

Corollary 2.4. *Let* $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\ell\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ *be a nontrivial conformal blocks divisor, so that* $\sum_i |\lambda_i| = (r+1)(d+1)$. *The image of the morphism* $\phi_{\mathbb{D}}$ *is isomorphic to the Veronese quotient* $U_{d,n} /\!\!/_{(0,\mathcal{A})} \operatorname{SL}(d+1)$, *where* $a_i = |\lambda_i|/(r+1)$.

Proof. By assumption, $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \in P_1(\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1})$ and $\operatorname{rk} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\ell\vec{\lambda},\ell} \neq 0$. Therefore, by Proposition 6.4, $\operatorname{rk} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda},1} \neq 0$. Using factorization, $\operatorname{rk} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda},1} \neq 0$ implies that $\operatorname{rk} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda},1} = 1$ [Fak12]. So by Corollary 2.2, $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\ell\vec{\lambda},\ell} = \ell \cdot \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda},1}$ for all positive integers ℓ. Applying [GG12, Theorem 1.2] gives the assertion. □

2.3. **Second application: fibrations given by conformal blocks divisors whose weights are all nonzero.** Nonzero divisors $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ with (some) zero weights give rise to maps with images that are not birational to $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$. In many known examples of conformal blocks

divisors, where all weights are nonzero, the images of associated maps are birational to $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$. However, this is not always so, as the examples below show.

2.4. **Examples of non birational morphisms.** Let $n = 2k+1$, for $k \geq 2$, and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{2k+1}$. We define the following bundles:

(1) For $\vec{\mu} = (\underset{k \text{ factors}}{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_1}, \underset{k \text{ factors}}{\omega_{2k}, \ldots, \omega_{2k}}, 0)$ at level $\ell = 1$. We know that $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{2k+1},\vec{\mu},1} \neq 0$, since this is the pullback of a nonzero bundle from $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,2k}$ [Fak12, Proposition 2.4, (1)].
(2) For $\vec{\nu} = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_1, \omega_1)$, consider $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{2k+1},\vec{\nu},m}$ at level $m = 1$.
(3) For $\vec{\mu} + \vec{\nu} = (\underset{k}{2\omega_1, \ldots, 2\omega_1}, \omega_1 + \omega_{2k}, \underset{k}{\ldots, \omega_1 + \omega_{2k}}, \omega_1)$, we consider $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{2k+1},\vec{\mu}+\vec{\nu},\ell+m}$, at level $\ell + m = 2$.

One must check that $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{2k+1},\vec{\mu}+\vec{\nu},\ell+m}$ has rank 1, so that Proposition 2.1 is applicable, and

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\mu}+\vec{\nu},\ell+m} = \delta \cdot \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\mu},\ell} + \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\nu},m},$$

and here $\delta = 1$, since bundles in type A of level one have rank one.

To check the rank of $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{2k+1},\vec{\mu}+\vec{\nu},\ell+m}$ is one, we use Witten's theorem relating quantum cohomology and conformal blocks (see e.g., [BGM14]), which says that the rank of $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{2k+1},\vec{\mu}+\vec{\nu},\ell+m}$ is equal to the coefficient of the class of $q^{k-1}\sigma_{2\omega_{2k+1}}$ in the quantum product:

$$\sigma_{2\omega_1}^{\star(k)} \star \sigma_{\omega_1+\omega_{2k}}^{\star(k)} \star \sigma_{\omega_1} \star \sigma_{2\omega_1}^{\star(k-1)} \in \mathrm{QH}^\star(\mathrm{Gr}(2k+1, 2k+1+2)).$$

(the standard notation of cycle classes of Schubert varieties, as well as the definition of quantum cohomology appear for example in [BGM14])

Now, by quantum Pieri, $\sigma_{\omega_1+\omega_{2k}} = \sigma_{2\omega_1} \star \sigma_{\omega_{2k-1}}$ and $\sigma_{2\omega_1}^{\star(2k)} \star \sigma_{\omega_1} = \sigma_{\omega_{2k+1}+\omega_{2k}}$.

Our coefficient is therefore the same as the coefficient of the class of of $q^{k-1}\sigma_{2\omega_{2k+1}}$ in the quantum product:

$$\sigma_{\omega_{2k-1}}^{\star(k)} \star \sigma_{\omega_{2k+1}+\omega_{2k}} \star \sigma_{2\omega_1}^{\star(k-1)} \in \mathrm{QH}^\star(\mathrm{Gr}(2k+1, 2k+1+2))$$

which again by Witten's theorem is the rank of the conformal block $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{2k+1},\vec{\gamma},2}$ with $\gamma_1 = \cdots = \gamma_k = \omega_{2k-1}$ and $\gamma_{k+1} = \omega_{2k+1} + \omega_{2k}$. By [Bea96], we may dualize to find the ranks, so our rank is the same as the rank of the conformal block $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{2k+1},\vec{\gamma}^*,2}$. But γ^* is the collection of weights $(\omega_2)^k$ and ω_1. This critical level for the block $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{2k+1},\vec{\gamma}^*,2}$ is zero and we can compute the rank of the block at level 1 as the space of classical coinvariants. The rank is therefore 1 by Pieri.

But $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\nu},m} = 0$, since the critical level is zero (see [Fak12]). Moreover, since $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\mu},\ell}$ is pulled back from $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,2k}$, $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\mu}+\vec{\nu},\ell+m}$ is a non-trivial conformal blocks divisor on $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,2k+1}$ pulled back from $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,2k}$, and hence does not correspond to a birational map, but rather a fibration. We note that this could not practically be checked by computer for k beyond 4.

2.5. **Third application: Using decomposition to explain vanishing.** As was mentioned in the introduction, Proposition 2.1 explains the vanishing of the critical level divisor $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_4,\{\omega_1,(2\omega_1+\omega_3)^3\},3}$. A calculation shows that $\operatorname{rk} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_4,\{\omega_1,(2\omega_1+\omega_3)^3\},3} = 1$, while the coinvariants have rank 2. The map (1.1) maps to a positive dimensional Grassmannian, and so one does not expect the first Chern class to be zero.

Noting that by a calculation,

$$\operatorname{rk}\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_4,\{0,(\omega_1+\omega_3)^3\},2} = \operatorname{rk}\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_4,\{\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_1\},1} = 1,$$

one can write this divisor as a sum

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_4,\{\omega_1,(2\omega_1+\omega_3)^3\},3} = \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_4,\{\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_1\},1} + \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_4,\{0,(\omega_1+\omega_3)^3\},2}.$$

But since $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_4,\{\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_1\},1}$ is trivial since the sum of the areas of the weights is 4, and $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_4,\{0,(\omega_1+\omega_3)^3\},2}$ is trivial, being pulled back from $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,3}$, one sees why $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_4,\{\omega_1,(2\omega_1+\omega_3)^3\},3}$ is trivial as well.

One can write down other similar examples. For instance, while

$$1 = \operatorname{rk}\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_4,\{\omega_2+\omega_3,\omega_1,\omega_1+2\omega_2,2\omega_1+\omega_3\},3} < \operatorname{rk}\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{sl}_4,\{\omega_2+\omega_3,\omega_1,\omega_1+2\omega_2,2\omega_1+\omega_3\}} = 2,$$

one can argue that the critical level divisor $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_4,\{\omega_2+\omega_3,\omega_1,\omega_1+2\omega_2,2\omega_1+\omega_3\},3}$, which is dual to itself under critical level duality, is a sum of three divisors pulled back from $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,3}$:

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_4,\{\omega_2+\omega_3,\omega_1,\omega_1+2\omega_2,2\omega_1+\omega_3\},3} = \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_4,\{\omega_2,0,\omega_1,\omega_1\},1} + \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_4,\{\omega_3,0,\omega_2,\omega_3\},1} + \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_4,\{0,\omega_1,\omega_2,\omega_1\},1}.$$

3. Decomposition with respect to the Lie Algebra

3.1. **Nonvanishing of conformal blocks divisors for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$.** In this section we prove Corollary 3.6 which is a key step in our nonvanishing results. The first part will be used in the proof of non-vanishing criteria for conformal blocks divisors in Theorems 1.6 and 3.9. The second part says that sub-critical level conformal blocks divisors for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ are non-zero as long as their ranks are not equal to zero (compare with [Fak12], and B. Alexeev's formula [Swi11, (3.5)])).

3.1.1. *Generalities.* Let $x = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ be an n-tuple of distinct points in $\mathbb{A}^1 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1$. Set $A = A_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda}}$ and denote by C_x, the image of the map $T_x^{\ell+1} : W \to W$, where $W = V_{\lambda_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{\lambda_n}$ and $T_x = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i e_\theta^{(i)}$ with $e_\theta^{(i)}$ acting on the ith coordinate.

Then by [Bea96, Proposition 4.1] and [FSV95, Section 1.1],

Lemma 3.1. *The fiber of $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ at x is the cokernel of the natural map $C_x \to A$.*

This immediately yields vanishing statements for the theta level:

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $\ell > \theta(\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda})$, then $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell} = 0$.*

Proof. Assume $\ell > \theta(\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda})$, or that $\sum \lambda_i(H_\theta) < 2(\ell+1)$. Writing elements of V_{λ_i} as obtained from lowest weight vectors by application of the operators e_α, we see that any element of C_x is a sum of eigenvectors for H_θ with strictly positive eigenvalues, and hence maps to zero under $C_x \to A$. Therefore $C_x \to A$ is the zero map. This proves the lemma. □

3.1.2. *The fixed part of conformal blocks and vanishing of* $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$.

Definition 3.3. *Consider the "fixed part":*

$$\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{g},\ell,\vec{\lambda}) = \bigcap_{x\in \mathrm{M}_{0,n}} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell}\,|_x^* \subseteq A^* = \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda}}|_x^*.$$

The intersection in Definition 3.3 is the same as if we were to intersect over all points $x \in \overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$. We also characterize $\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{g},\ell,\vec{\lambda})$ as the space of global sections (over $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$) of $\mathbb{V}^*_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$: $\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{g},\ell,\vec{\lambda}) = H^0(\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}, \mathbb{V}^*_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell})$.

Definition 3.4. *Let* $C' \subseteq V_{\lambda_1}\otimes\ldots\otimes V_{\lambda_n}$ *be the* $\mathbb{C}$*-linear span of elements of the form*

$$e_\theta^{a_1}v_1\otimes\ldots\otimes e_\theta^{a_n}v_n,\ v_i\in V_{\lambda_i},\ 0\le a_i\le \ell+1,\ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \ell+1. \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 3.5. (1) $\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{g},\ell,\vec{\lambda})^*$ *is the cokernel of the natural map* $C'\to A$.

(2) $c_1\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\vec{\lambda},\ell} = 0$ *if and only if* C' *and* C_x *have the same image in* A. C_x *was defined in the beginning of this section,* $C_x \subseteq C'$.

Proof. An element $\alpha\in A^*$ is in the fixed part, if and only if $\alpha(T_x^{\ell+1}(v_1\otimes\ldots\otimes v_n)) = 0$ as a polynomial in $z_1,\ldots,z_n$, where v_i are arbitrary elements of V_{λ_i}. This polynomial is zero if all its coefficients are zero. So $\alpha\in\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{g},\ell,\vec{\lambda})$ if and only if $\alpha(C') = 0$ as desired. This gives (1). It is easy to see that (2) follows from (1). □

Corollary 3.6. *Suppose* $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, *and* ℓ *the critical level for* $\vec{\lambda}$. *Suppose* $\tilde{\ell}\le\ell$ *and* $\vec{\lambda}$ *is in* $P_{\tilde{\ell}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

(1) $\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{g},\tilde{\ell},\vec{\lambda}) = 0$.

(2) *If* $\operatorname{rk}\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\tilde{\ell},\vec{\lambda}}\neq 0$, *then* $c_1\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g},\tilde{\ell},\vec{\lambda}}\neq 0$.

Proof. To prove (1) it suffices to consider the case $\tilde{\ell} = \ell$. Let C'' be the set of $\mathfrak{h}$-invariants of $V_{\lambda_1}\otimes\ldots\otimes V_{\lambda_n}$. It is easy to see that C'' surjects on to A. We will show $C''\subseteq C'$ and hence prove (1). A tensor γ in C'' can be written as sum of vectors of the form $e_\theta^{a_1}v_1\otimes\ldots\otimes e_\theta^{a_n}v_n$ with v_i lowest weight vectors. Since γ is $\mathfrak{h}$-invariant, we should have (in each term) $2\sum a_i - \sum\lambda_i = 0$, so $\sum a_i = \ell+1$. Therefore $\gamma\in C'$. This gives (1). Now (2) follows from the lemma above and (1), since the map $f_{\mathbb{V}}$ from (1.1) is non-constant (if X is a positive dimensional projective variety, $f: X\to\mathbb{P}^m$ a non-constant morphism, then $f^*\mathcal{O}(1)$ is a non-trivial line bundle on X). □

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose* V *is a globally generated vector bundle on a projective variety* X. *The following are equivalent:*

(1) V *is a trivial vector bundle, i.e., isomorphic to* $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}$, $r = \operatorname{rk} V$.

(2) *The Chern character* $\operatorname{ch}(V)$ *of* V *equals* $\operatorname{rk} V\in H^0(X,\mathbb{Q})\subseteq H^*(X,\mathbb{Q})$.

(3) $c_1(V) = 0\in H^2(X,\mathbb{Q})$.

Proof. Note that the $H^0(X,\mathbb{Q})$ and $H^2(X,\mathbb{Q})$ components of $\mathrm{ch}(V)$ are $\mathrm{rk}\, V$ and $c_1(V)$ respectively. We therefore only need to show that (3) implies (1). Assume (1) fails. We may assume X to be connected and positive dimensional. Let $A\otimes\mathcal{O}_X \to V$ be the surjection from a constant vector bundle to V. Let $f : X \to \mathrm{Grass}^{quo}(\mathrm{rk}\, V, A)$ be the corresponding map to the Grassmann variety of quotients. Since f is a non-constant morphism (otherwise V would be trivial as a vector bundle), $c_1(V) = c_1(f^*\mathcal{O}(1)) \neq 0 \in H^2(X,\mathbb{Q})$. (One may use a smooth projective curve C and a map $g : C \to X$ such that $f \circ g$ is not constant to show that the degree of the pull back of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ to C is non-zero.) □

3.2. **Non-vanishing criteria: Proof of Theorem 3.9 and first implication of Theorem 1.6.** In this section we prove Theorem 3.9 which also gives the proof of the forward implication in statement of Theorem 1.6 as a special case. The proof of Theorem 1.6 is completed next in Section 3.4.

To state the result, we begin with a more general construction of auxiliary bundles.

Definition 3.8. *(More general auxiliary bundles) Given $\vec{\lambda} \in P_\ell(\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1})^n$, such that for each $i \in [n]$, λ_i is normalized. For each $i \in [n]$, choose a two element subset $A_i = \{\alpha_i < \beta_i\} \subseteq [r+1]$. Consider associated conformal blocks bundles $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2,\vec{\mu},\ell}$ and $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r-1},\vec{\nu},\ell}$ where μ_i is the $2\times\ell$ Young diagram formed by the α_ith and β_ith rows of λ_i, and ν_i is the $(r-1)\times\ell$ Young diagram formed by removing the α_ith and β_ith rows of λ_i, $i \in [n]$. The μ_i and ν_i may not be normalized.*

Theorem 3.9. *Given $\vec{\lambda} \in P_\ell(\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1})^n$, such that for each i, λ_i is normalized. Suppose that:*

(a) $\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| = \frac{1}{r-1}\sum_{i=1}^n |\nu_i| = \frac{1}{r+1}\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i| = \delta \in \mathbb{Z}$
(b) *Assume that ℓ is not greater than the critical level for $\vec{\mu}$ (one needs to normalize $\vec{\mu}$ to find the critical level), and* $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2,\vec{\mu},\ell} \neq 0$.
(c) *If $r > 2$, then* $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r-1},\vec{\nu},\ell} \neq 0$ *(so condition (c) is vacuous for $r = 2$).*

Then $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda},\ell} \neq 0$.

3.3. **Key Steps.** We first assume that $r-1 \geq 2$, and we will later indicate the modifications required to treat the case $r = 2$. We now outline the main steps:

3.3.1. *Step I: Maps of conformal blocks.* We note that given the auxiliary bundles as described in Definition 3.8, one can form the diagram

(3.2)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2,\vec{\mu}} \otimes \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r-1},\vec{\nu}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2,\vec{\mu},\ell} \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r-1},\vec{\nu},\ell} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda}} \end{array}$$

3.3.2. *Step II.* Next we show, in Section 3.3.7, that ϕ is a generically non-zero map over $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$ (and thereby proving also that $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda}} \neq 0$). This step uses the geometry of parabolic bundles over a point of $\mathrm{M}_{0,n}$.

3.3.3. *Step III.* Finally we argue by contradiction, suppose $c_1\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda}} = 0$. Then it will follow that $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ is trivial as a vector bundle. The image of $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda},\ell} \mid_x^*$ in $\mathbb{A} \mid_x^* = A^*$ with $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2,\vec{\mu}} \otimes \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r-1},\vec{\nu}}$ (the constant fibers of $\mathbb{A}$ are denoted by A) is a constant non-zero subspace which lies inside the image of the dual of $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2,\vec{\mu},\ell} \mid_x \otimes \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r-1},\vec{\nu}}$ inside A^*. But, this contradicts 3.6, which implies that

$$\bigcap_{x\in \mathrm{M}_{0,n}} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2,\vec{\mu},\ell} \mid_x^* = 0 \subseteq A^*_{\mathfrak{sl}_2,\vec{\mu}}.$$

Therefore $c_1\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda}} \neq 0$, as desired.

3.3.4. *Some notation.*

(1) Let $\mathcal{G}r_{r+1}$ be the affine Grassmannian of rank $r+1$-vector bundles with trivialized determinants on $\mathbb{P}^1$, and trivialized outside of p. $\mathcal{G}r_{r+1}$ is identified with the (ind-variety) $\mathrm{SL}_{r+1}(\mathbb{C}((z)))/\mathrm{SL}_{r+1}(\mathbb{C}[[z]])$ where z is a local coordinate at p.

(2) A quasi-parabolic SL_{r+1} bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1$ is a triple $(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{F},\gamma)$ where $\mathcal{V}$ is a vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1$ of rank $r+1$ and degree 0 with a given trivialization $\gamma : \det\mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}$, and $\mathcal{F} = (F_\bullet^{p_1},\dots,F_\bullet^{p_n}) \in \mathrm{Fl}_S(\mathcal{V})$ is a collection of complete flag on fibers over $p_1,\dots,p_n$ (see Definition 6.1). Let $\mathcal{P}ar_{r+1}$ be the moduli stack parameterizing quasi-parabolic SL_{r+1} vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^1$.

3.3.5. *Weyl group translates of highest weight vectors.* Let $S = \mathbb{C}^{r+1}$ with basis vectors $\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_{r+1}$ and dual basis $L_1,\dots,L_{r+1}$. Let $U = \mathbb{C}\epsilon_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}\epsilon_2$ and $W = \mathbb{C}\epsilon_3 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}\epsilon_{r+1}$ so that one has an internal direct sum $S = U+W$. There is a natural map $\mathrm{GL}(U)\times\mathrm{GL}(W) \to \mathrm{GL}(S)$. Identify $\mathrm{GL}(U) = \mathrm{GL}(2), \mathrm{GL}(W) = \mathrm{GL}(r-1)$ and $\mathrm{GL}(S) = \mathrm{GL}(r+1)$ in the evident way. Let $\mathfrak{h}_U$, $\mathfrak{h}_W$ and $\mathfrak{h}_S$ be the Cartan algebras of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, $\mathfrak{sl}_{r-1}$ and $\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}$ respectively. The Weyl group S_{r+1} of $\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}$ can be considered to be a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(S)$ (as permutation matrices), and acts on $\mathfrak{h}_S$ and $\mathfrak{h}_S^*$: $\pi \in S_{r+1}$ acts as $\pi\epsilon_i = \epsilon_{\pi(i)}$ and $\pi\cdot L_i = L_{\pi(i)}$:

- If $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_S^*$ then $(\pi\cdot\lambda)(\epsilon_i) = \lambda(\epsilon_{\pi^{-1}(i)})$. Therefore $\pi L_i(\epsilon_j) = L_i(\epsilon_{\pi^{-1}(j)}) = \delta_{i,\pi^{-1}(j)}$ and hence $\pi L_i = L_{\pi(i)}$.

Now let V_λ be an irreducible representation of GL_{r+1} with highest weight vector v, and highest weight λ. Let $\pi \in S_{r+1}$.

Lemma 3.10. (1) *The vector πv a is weight vector of weight $\pi\lambda$.*

(2) *πv is a highest weight vector of $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_{r-1}$ if and only if*

$$\pi^{-1}(1) < \pi^{-1}(2),\ \pi^{-1}(3) < \cdots < \pi^{-1}(r+1) \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. If $h \in \mathfrak{h}_S$, $h(\pi v) = \pi(\pi^{-1}h\pi)v = \lambda(\pi^{-1}\cdot h)\pi v = (\pi\cdot\lambda)(h)\pi v$. Therefore πv is a weight vector, of weight $\pi\lambda$.

Let $e_{ij} \in \mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}$ take ϵ_j to ϵ_i and all others to zero. Then $\pi^{-1}e_{ij}\pi\epsilon_{\pi^{-1}(i)} = e_{\pi^{-1}(j)}$ and so $\pi^{-1}e_{ij}\pi = e_{\pi^{-1}(i),\pi^{-1}(j)}$. Moreover, $e_{ij}\pi v = \pi(\pi^{-1}e_{ij}\pi)v = \pi e_{\pi^{-1}(i),\pi^{-1}(j)}v$ which is zero if $\pi^{-1}(i) < \pi^{-1}(j)$. □

Assuming (3.3), denote the corresponding irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_{r-1}$ by $V_\mu \otimes V_\nu$. The representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ corresponds to $\pi^{-1}(1)$ and $\pi^{-1}(2)$ rows of λ (which gives μ), and the representation corresponding to $\mathfrak{sl}_{r-1}$ corresponds to the remaining rows of λ (which gives ν): This is because $\pi\lambda(\epsilon_i) = \lambda(\epsilon_{\pi^{-1}(i)})$.

For the final steps we will use the description of conformal blocks by generalized theta functions. We refer the reader to Appendix 6 for more details and (some) standard notation on parabolic moduli stacks, and the geometric realization of conformal blocks.

3.3.6. *Geometrization of branching.* Let $X_a = \mathrm{SL}(a)/B_a$, where B_a is a chosen Borel subgroup. There is a natural map $\iota : X_2 \times X_{r-1} \to X_{r+1}$ given by $(g, h) \mapsto gh\pi$. There is a natural map $X_{r+1} \to \mathbb{P}(V_\lambda)$. The pull backs of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ to $X_2 \times X_{r-1}$ and X_{r+1} are $\mathcal{L}_\mu \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_\nu$ and $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ respectively. Then (compatibly) $H^0(X_2 \times X_{r-1}, \mathcal{L}_\mu \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_\nu) = (V_\mu \otimes V_\nu)^*$ and $H^0(X_r, \mathcal{L}_\lambda) = V_\lambda^*$.

Note further that ι is the map $\mathrm{Fl}(U) \times \mathrm{Fl}(W) \to \mathrm{Fl}(S)$ given by $(F_\bullet, G_\bullet) \mapsto H_\bullet$ where $H_\bullet$ is computed as follows:

$$H_a = F_m \oplus G_k,\ m = \pi^{-1}\{1, 2\} \cap [i],\ k = a - m.$$

3.3.7. *The final step.* Working over $x = (\mathbb{P}^1, p_1, \ldots, p_n) \in \mathrm{M}_{0,n}$, we produce an element $\delta \in \mathbb{V}^*_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}, \vec{\lambda}} \mid_x$ whose image via ϕ^* in $(\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2, \vec{\mu}, \ell} \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r-1}, \vec{\nu}, \ell})^* \mid_x$ is non-zero. Therefore ϕ is not the zero map.

Consider the maps of moduli stacks

$$\beta : \mathcal{P}ar_2 \times \mathcal{P}ar_{r-1} \to \mathcal{P}ar_{r+1}$$

($\mathcal{P}ar_{\tilde{r}}$ are the moduli stacks from Section 6.1 with n-marked points $p_1, \ldots, p_n$). Here β is the map that sends $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F}, \gamma) \times (\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{G}, \gamma') \mapsto (\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{H}, \gamma \wedge \gamma')$ where $H^p_a = F^p_m \oplus G^p_k$ where m is the number of elements in $\pi_i^{-1}\{1, 2\}$ that are less than or equal to a, $k = a - m$.

Consider line bundles $\mathcal{P}_2 = \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \ell, \vec{\mu})$ on $\mathcal{P}ar_2$, $\mathcal{P}_{r-1} = \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{sl}_{r-1}, \ell, \vec{\nu})$ on $\mathcal{P}ar_{r-1}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{r+1} = \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}, \ell, \vec{\lambda})$ on $\mathcal{P}ar_{r+1}$.

Lemma 3.11. *The map β pulls back $\mathcal{P}_{r+1}$ to $\mathcal{P}_2 \boxtimes \mathcal{P}_{r-1}$ and induces the dual of the map ϕ at the level of global sections.*

Proof. Introduce a new point $p \in \mathbb{P}^1$. The map β is dominated by a map

$$(\mathcal{G}r_2 \times \mathrm{Fl}(U)^n) \times (\mathcal{G}r_{r-1} \times \mathrm{Fl}(W)^n) \to (\mathcal{G}r_{r+1} \times \mathrm{Fl}(S)^n).$$

□

Therefore our final task can be restated in geometric terms as: The map

$$H^0(\mathcal{P}ar_{r+1}, \mathcal{P}_{r+1}) \to H^0(\mathcal{P}ar_2, \mathcal{P}_2) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{P}ar_{r-1}, \mathcal{P}_{r-1}) \tag{3.4}$$

is non-zero. For this we need a geometric way of producing elements of these spaces. In Section 6.3, we recall a way of construction sections: Write $\ell - D = \frac{1}{r+1} \sum |\lambda_i|$. Consider an evenly split bundle (see Section 6.3 for a definition) $\mathcal{Q}$ of degree $-D$ and rank ℓ. For every $\mathcal{G} \in \mathrm{Fl}_S(\mathcal{Q})$ a section $s_{(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{G})}$ is produced in $H^0(\mathcal{P}ar_{r+1}, \mathcal{P}_{r+1})$ by a degeneracy locus construction.

We will now show that if $\mathcal{G} \in \mathrm{Fl}_S(\mathcal{Q})$ is generic, $s_{(\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{G})} \in H^0(\mathcal{P}ar_{r+1}, \mathcal{P}_{r+1})$ maps to a non zero element under the map (3.4). So we need to show that $s_{(\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{G})}$ is non-zero on images of generic elements of the form $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F}, \gamma) \times (\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{G}, \gamma')$ via β.

Suppose not, then we will find maps $\psi_1 : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{Q}$ and $\psi_2 : \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{Q}$, such that the resulting map $\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{Q}$ is non-zero and

$$(\psi_1)_p(F_a^p) \subseteq G^p_{\ell-\mu_i^{(a)}}, (\psi_1)_p(F_b^p) \subseteq G^p_{\ell-\mu_i^{(b)}},\ a \in [2],\ b \in [r-1], p = p_i \in S.$$

But there are no such non-zero maps by Lemma 6.2 applied to the non-zero vector spaces from conditions (b) and (c) of the theorem. Here we note that if we fix a $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$ in (6.2), the zeroness holds for generic $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{G})$.

Remark 3.12. *At the point $p = p_i$, F_a^p maps to $H^p_{\alpha_a}$ therefore the requirement is that it map to $G^p_{\ell-\lambda_i^{(\alpha_a)}}$ but $\lambda_i^{(\alpha_a)} = \mu_i^{(a)}$; similarly for ν.*

3.3.8. *Case $r = 2$.* We just omit the $\mathfrak{sl}_{r-1}$ factor. The transversality statement boils down to the following: Let L be a one dimensional complex vector space. Then there are no non-zero maps ψ such that for all $p = p_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$,

$$\psi : L \otimes \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{Q},\ \psi_p(L_p) \subseteq G^p_{\ell-\mu_i^{(1)}}.$$

One can prove this by converting the above transversality assertion into the non-zeroness of a generalized Gromov-Witten number (using an argument of the type used in Proposition 6.2), the fact that the small quantum cohomology ring of a projective space is simply governed by degree constraints, and the shifting operations from [Bel08]. Here we sketch a more direct argument: If ψ_p are all non-zero, then the above follows from Kleiman's transversality. If some ψ_p are zero, say for $p_1, \ldots, p_m$ then ψ gives rise to a map $L(\sum_{i \le m} p_i) \to \mathcal{Q}$, we may apply Kleiman's transversality and find the expected dimension to be negative.

3.4. **Proof of the reverse implication in Theorem 1.6.**

Proof. (of the reverse implication in Theorem 1.6) Note that π_i are the same permutation π here. Let $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{sl}_\theta \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_{r-1}$ be the π^{-1} conjugate embedding of $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_{r-1}$. Let $v_i \in V_{\lambda_i}$ be the highest weight vectors. Break up each V_{λ_i} into a direct sum $M_i \bigoplus \oplus_{j \in I_i} W_j^i$ of irreducible modules for $\mathfrak{g}'$ where M_i is the irreducible module with highest weight vector v_i. It is easy to see there are no eigenvectors for h_θ with weight $\lambda_i^{(1)}$ in any of the W_j^i (because they will involve at least one application of f_{α_1} or f_{α_r}, which lower the h_θ weight).

Therefore under the quotient $T_x^{\ell+1} : V_{\lambda_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{\lambda_n} \to A_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda}}$, the only term that survives is the image of $T_x^{\ell+1} M$ with $M = M_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes M_n$. But the image of the coinvariants $M_{\mathfrak{g}'}$ in $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ is zero since it factors through $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2,\vec{\mu},\ell} \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r-1},\vec{\nu},\ell} = 0$, from (3.2). This implies that the image of $T_x^{\ell+1} M$ in $A_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda}}$ is equal to the image of $M_{\mathfrak{g}'}$, which is constant.

Therefore, $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ is a constant quotient of $A_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda}}$, and has zero first Chern class.

□

4. The family of divisors $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\omega_1^n,\ell}$, $n = (r+1)(\ell+1)$

In this section we consider the set of divisors $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\omega_1^n,\ell}$, for $n = (r+1)(\ell+1)$, each of which is S_n-invariant. In particular, by [KM96, Gib09] the morphisms $\phi_{\mathbb{D}}$ given by these nef and big divisors are birational. Propositions 5.3 and 5.4 in [BGM14] together give a list of F-curves contracted by the divisors $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\omega_1^n,\ell}$. By Corollary 4.6, for $\mathcal{A} = (\frac{1}{\ell+r}, \dots, \frac{1}{\ell+r})$, $\ell > 1$, and $r > 1$, the maps $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\phi_{\mathbb{D}}$ are shown to contract the same F-curves. According to the S_n-invariant F-conjecture, the divisors $\mathbb{D}$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}^*(A)$, where A is any ample divisor on $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathcal{A}}$ conjecturally lie on the same face of the nef cone of $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$. In particular, the (normalization of the) image of the morphism $\phi_{\mathbb{D}}$ should be isomorphic to $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathcal{A}}$.

In [KM11], and [Moo11] it is shown that $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathcal{A}}$ can be constructed as a GIT quotient of $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{P}^1, 1)$ by $\mathrm{SL}(2)$. The case $\ell = 1$, the image of $\phi_{\mathbb{D}}$ was shown in [Fak12] to be isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n /\!\!/_{\mathcal{A}} \mathrm{SL}(2)$, where $a_i = 1/(r+1)$. In case $r = 1$, the image of $\phi_{\mathbb{D}}$ was shown in [Gia13] to be isomorphic to $U_{\ell,n} /\!\!/_{(\delta,\mathcal{A})} \mathrm{SL}(\ell+1)$, where $\delta = \frac{\ell-1}{\ell+1}$, and $a_i = \frac{1}{\ell+1}$ (see [GJMS12] for this particular notation).

We begin by defining an F-Curve.

Definition 4.1. *Fix a partition of $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ into four nonempty sets N_1, N_2, N_3, $N_4 = [n] \setminus (N_1 \cup N_2 \cup N_3)$, and consider the morphism*

$$\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,4} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}, \qquad (C, (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)) \mapsto (X, (p_1, \dots, p_n))$$

where X is the nodal curve obtained as follows. If $|N_i| \geq 2$, then one glues a copy of $\mathbb{P}^1$ to the spine $(C, (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4))$ by attaching a point

$$(\mathbb{P}^1, \{p_j : j \in N_i\} \cup \{\alpha_i\}) \in M_{0,|N_i|+1}$$

to a_i at α_i. If $|N_i| = 1$, one does not glue any curve at the point a_i, but instead labels a_i by p_i. We refer to any element of the numerical equivalence class of the image of this morphism the F*-Curve $F(N_1, N_2, N_3)$ or by $F(N_1, N_2, N_3, N_4)$, depending on the context.*

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose that $r \geq 1$ and $\ell \geq 1$. For $n = (r+1)(\ell+1)$, the divisor $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\omega_1^n,\ell}$, positively intersects all* F*-curves $F(N_1, N_2, N_3, N_4)$ with $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $|N_i| = n_i$, where $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n_3 \leq n_4 = (r+1)(\ell+1) - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} n_i$, and $\sum_{i=1}^3 n_i \geq r + \ell + 1$.*

Proof. Our proof carries a larger induction hypothesis, and we prove a stronger statement for these cases.

We want to show that any F-curve $F(N_1, N_2, N_3, N_4)$, $|N_i| = n_i$ with $n_i \leq (r+1)(\ell+1) - (r+\ell+1) = r\ell, i = 1, \dots, 4$ is not contracted by $\mathbb{D}$ (so we drop the hypothesis that $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n_3 \leq n_4$). By [Fak12],

$$\mathbb{D} \cdot F(N_1, N_2, N_3, N_4) = \sum_{\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_4) \in P_\ell^4} \deg \mathbb{V}_{\vec{\lambda}} \, \Pi_{i=1}^4 \operatorname{rk} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},(\omega_1^{n_i}, \lambda_i^*),\ell},$$

where $\mathbb{V}_{\vec{\lambda}} = \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\vec{\lambda}}$. This is a sum of nonnegative numbers. Therefore, to show that the sum is nonzero, it is enough to show that there is at least one element $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_4) \in P_\ell^4$ for

which

$$\deg \mathbb{V}_{\vec{\lambda}} \Pi_{i=1}^4 \operatorname{rk} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},(\omega_1^{n_i},\lambda_i^*),\ell} > 0.$$

We note that if λ_i are normalized dominant integral weights for $\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}$ in $P_\ell(\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1})$ (so they fit into boxes of size $r \times \ell$) with $|\lambda_i| = n_i$, then $\operatorname{rk} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},(\omega_1^{n_i},\lambda_i^*),\ell} > 0$, since this classical, and we may use the Pieri rule. Therefore it suffices to establish the following claim: □

Claim 4.3. *Suppose $(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4) \in [r\ell]^4$. Then there are Young diagrams λ_i, $i = 1, \ldots, 4$ fitting into boxes of size $r \times \ell$, so that $|\lambda_i| = n_i$, and*

$$\deg \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4),\ell} > 0.$$

Proof. (of Claim 4.3)

We will do this by induction on r. The weights λ_j's will be such Theorem 3.9 is applicable. So in addition to $\vec{\lambda}$ we will have subsets $A_i = \{\alpha_i < \beta_i\} \subseteq [r+1]$, $i = 1, \ldots, 4$ and associated conformal blocks bundles $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2,\vec{\mu},\ell}$ and $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r-1},\vec{\nu},\ell}$. This data will be such that conditions (a), (b) and (c) of Theorem 3.9 hold, with $\delta = \ell + 1$. For $r = 1$, $\sum n_i = 2(\ell + 1)$ and $0 < n_i \leq \ell$, so any choice of λ_i will work (use Fakhruddin's result that critical level $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ conformal blocks divisors are non-zero).

Assume that the claim holds for r and prove it for $r+1 \geq 2$ as follows. Let $m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 \in [(r+1)\ell]$ be positive integers which sum to $(r+2)(\ell+1)$.

We get $(n_1, \ldots, n_4) \in [r\ell]^4$ and $(q_1, \ldots, q_4) \in [\ell]^4$ from $(m_1, \ldots, m_4)$ by applying Lemma 4.4 below. Apply the claim (with the stronger burden of induction) for r with data $n_1, \ldots, n_4$, and obtain the data $\vec{\lambda}$, $\vec{\mu}$, $\vec{\nu}$ etc. Now add on a row of size q_i to λ_i and get a new Young diagram λ_i' (and permute rows so that one gets a legitimate Young diagram). The old μ_i corresponds to rows $(\alpha_i' < \beta_i')$ of λ_i'. The new λ_i' satisfies our requirement by using Theorem 3.9 and Proposition 6.3. Note that Proposition 6.3 is applied to the n-tuple of weights of $\mathfrak{sl}_r$ obtained by adding rows of sizes q_i to ν_i. (so, $a = r - 1$ and $b = 1$).

□

Lemma 4.4. *It is possible to write $m_i = n_i + q_i, i = 1, \ldots, 4, m_i, q_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 < n_i \leq r\ell$ and $0 \leq q_i \leq \ell$ and $\sum n_i = (r+1)(\ell+1)$ (so $\sum q_i = \ell + 1$).*

Proof. First note that not more than two of the m_i can be one since $(r+1)\ell+3 < (r+2)(\ell+1) = r + 2\ell + r\ell + 2$.

- If m_i are all $\leq r\ell$: Write $m_i = 1 + \delta_i$. Then $\sum \delta_i = (r+2)(\ell+1) - 4 \geq \ell + 1$, since $r + \ell + r\ell \geq 3$. There are at least two of the m_i from which we may subtract, so we may restrict q_i to be $\leq \ell$.
- If $m_1 = r\ell + k, k > 0$, $1 \leq m_2, m_3, m_4 \leq r\ell$, suppose $m_2 > 1$. Then, we may take $q_1 = \ell, q_2 = 1, q_3 = 0, q_4 = 0$.
- If $m_1 = r\ell + k_1$, $m_2 = r\ell + k_2$ are $> r\ell$, and $1 < m_3, m_4 \leq r\ell$ (up to-reordering). Then $k_1 + k_2 \leq (r+2)(\ell+1) - 2r\ell - 2 = r + 2\ell - r\ell \leq \ell + 1$ since $r + \ell - r\ell - 1 = -(r-1)(\ell-1) \leq 0$. We take $q_1 = k_1, q_2 = (\ell+1) - k_1$ and $q_3 = q_4 = 0$.

- If $m_1 = r\ell + k_1$, $m_2 = r\ell + k_2$ and $m_3 = r\ell + k_3$ are $> r\ell$ and $1 < m_4 \leq r\ell$. Then $k_1+k_2+k_3 \leq (r+2)(\ell+1)-3r\ell-1 = [(r+2)(\ell+1)-2r\ell-2]+[1-r\ell] \leq \ell+1+0 = \ell+1$. So we may set $q_1 = k_1$, $q_2 = k_2$, $q_3 = (\ell+1) - (k_1+k_2)$ and $q_4 = 0$.
- If $m_i > r\ell$ for all i, then $4r\ell < (r+2)(\ell+1) = r\ell+2\ell+r+2$. Hence, $3r\ell-r-2\ell-r-2 < 0$ hence that $(r-1)(\ell-2)-4+2r\ell < 0$. If $\ell \geq 2$, then this cannot happen. If $\ell = 1$, then we get $3r-r-2-2 < 0$ or that $r < 2$ and hence $r = \ell = 1$. Writing $m_i = 1+\delta_i$, we see that $\sum \delta_i = 6-4 = 2$ but $\delta_i > 0$ by assumption, so this case cannot happen.

□

4.1. **The maps given by $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\omega_1^n,\ell}$, $n = (r+1)(\ell+1)$.**

4.1.1. *Hassett Contractions.* Consider an n-tuple $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$, with $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, $0 < a_i \leq 1$, such that $\sum_i a_i > 2$. In [Has03], Hassett introduced moduli spaces $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathcal{A}}$, parameterizing families of stable weighted pointed rational curves $(C, (p_1, \ldots, p_n))$ such that

(1) C is nodal away from its marked points p_i;
(2) $\sum_{j\in J} a_i \leq 1$, if the marked points $\{p_j : j \in J\}$ coincide; and
(3) If C' is an irreducible component of C then

$$\sum_{p_i \in C'} a_i + \text{ number of nodes on } C' > 2.$$

These Hassett spaces $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathcal{A}}$ receive birational morphisms $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$ from $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$ that are characterized entirely by which F-Curves (cf. Def. 4.1) they contract.

Definition/Lemma 4.5. *For any Hassett space $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathcal{A}}$, with $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$, there are birational morphisms $\rho_{\mathcal{A}} : \overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathcal{A}}$, which contract all* F*-curves $F(N_1, N_2, N_3, N_4)$ satisfying:*

$$\sum_{i \in N_1 \cup N_2 \cup N_3} a_i \leq 1,$$

and no others, where without loss of generality, the leg N_4 carries the most weight.

4.1.2. *The maps given by $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\omega_1^n,\ell}$ contract same curves as Hassett Contractions.* Recall from (1.1) that conformal block divisors arise from suitable morphisms $\phi_{\mathbb{D}}$ from $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$ to projective spaces.

Corollary 4.6. *Suppose that $r > 1$ and $\ell > 1$. Put $n = (r+1)(\ell+1)$, $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\omega_1^n,\ell}$, and $\mathcal{A} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$, with $a_i = \frac{1}{r+\ell}$. Then the maps $\phi_{\mathbb{D}}$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$ contract the same* F*-curves.*

Proof. This follows from [BGM14], Theorem 6.2 and Proposition 4.2. □

4.1.3. *Images of maps given by $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\omega_1^n,\ell}$.* Since the weights are symmetric the non-zero nef divisor $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\omega_1^n,\ell}$ is big, and so the corresponding morphism $\phi_{\mathbb{D}} : \overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n} \to \mathbb{P}$ is birational onto its image ([KM96, Gib09]). By [BGM14], $\phi_{\mathbb{D}}$ factors via $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let Z be the image of $\phi_{\mathbb{D}}$ and $\widetilde{Z}$ its normalization. We therefore find a regular birational morphism $p : \overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathcal{A}} \to \widetilde{Z}$. The S_n invariant F-conjecture implies that p is an isomorphism: In the lemma below the weights $\mathcal{A}$ are arbitrary, and not necessarily symmetric.

Lemma 4.7. *Suppose $f : \overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n} \to \mathbb{P}^m$ is a morphism with image Y. Assume that f contracts the same F-curves as the morphism $\rho_{\mathcal{A}} : \overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n} \to \overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathcal{A}}$. Then, there is a morphism $\pi : \overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathcal{A}} \to \widetilde{Y}$, where $\widetilde{Y}$ is the normalization of Y, which is an isomorphism if the F-conjecture holds (in particular, f is birational on to its image).*

Proof. (Standard) By Proposition 4.6 in [Fak12], f factors through $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$. There is therefore a natural morphism $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathcal{A}} \to Y$, which factors through the normalization of Y, and hence a morphism π as in the statement of the lemma. We need only show that π does not contract any curves. If C is a contracted curve, we can write it as an image of a curve C' in $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$ (this is possible because $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$ is birational). Write C' as a positive sum $\sum a_i F_i$ of F curves, in the cone of curves, assuming the F-conjecture (if the weights are symmetric, by averaging we need only the S_n invariant F-conjecture). Each F_i is contracted by f, and is hence contracted by $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$, this gives $C = 0$ in the cone of curves. Therefore, π is an isomorphism. □

Remark 4.8. *It is therefore interesting to look for other (not necessarily symmetric) conformal block divisors $\mathbb{D}$ which contract the same curves as a suitable $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$ (as in the main series of examples of this section). The F-conjecture implies, by Lemma 4.7, that such a $\mathbb{D}$ gives a birational morphism, with the normalization of image isomorphic to $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathcal{A}}$. Therefore strong vanishing and non-vanishing results (not the exact numerical values of classes) may lead to situations where the F-conjecture becomes applicable.*

Remark 4.9. *The S_n-invariant* F*-Conjecture is known to hold for $n \leq 24$* [Gib09]*, and so in this range one knows that the conformal blocks divisors $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\omega_1^n,\ell}$, for $n = (r+1)(\ell+1)$ give the maps from $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$ to the Hassett spaces $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathcal{A}}$. In order to remove the dependence on the* F*-Conjecture, one could exhibit an ample divisor D on $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathcal{A}}$ such that the pull back of D to $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$ is equal to a multiple of $\mathbb{D}$. To do this one could show that the degree of the divisors $\mathbb{D}$ on a basis of* F*-Curves is equal to a multiple of the degree of the pullback of D on those same curves. In* [KM11] *and* [Moo11]*, it has been shown that $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathcal{A}}$ can be constructed as a GIT quotient by* $\mathrm{SL}(2)$*, and so canonical choices of such D exist. Moreover, in* [KM11, Proposition 5.6]*, Kiem and Moon give a range of ample divisors on $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathcal{A}}$ and in* [KM11, Lemma 5.3]*, they give the formulas for pulling those divisors back to $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$. One could try to solve for the parameter α in the given range which may determine the divisor D. It would be enough to check degrees on those* F*-Curves of the form $F_{1,1,i,n-2-i}$ which form a basis for the S_n-invariant* 1*-cycles.*

5. The critical level divisors $\mathbb{D}_{\vec{\alpha}} = c_1\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\{\alpha_1\omega_1,\alpha_2\omega_1,\ldots,\alpha_n\omega_1\},\ell}$, $n = 2(r+1)$

In this section, we show how one can apply Theorem 1.6 together with results from [BGM14] to prove that divisors of the form

$$\mathbb{D}_{\vec{\alpha}} = c_1(\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},\{\alpha_1\omega_1,\alpha_2\omega_1,\ldots,\alpha_n\omega_1\},\ell}), \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i = (r+1)(\ell+1), \quad n = 2(r+1),\ \ell \geq 3$$

are nonzero.

5.1. **Nonvanishing.** Since ℓ is the critical level for the pair $(r+1, \{\alpha_1\omega_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\omega_1\})$, by [BGM14, Proposition 1.6 (b)] one has the critical level partner divisors:

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},(\alpha_1\omega_1,\alpha_2\omega_1,\ldots,\alpha_n\omega_1),\ell} = \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{\ell+1},\{\omega_{\alpha_1},\omega_{\alpha_2},\ldots,\omega_{\alpha_n}\},r},$$

the latter of which is at the theta level since

$$\theta(\mathfrak{sl}_{\ell+1}, \{\omega_{\alpha_1}, \omega_{\alpha_2}, \ldots, \omega_{\alpha_n}\}) = -1 + \frac{n}{2} = -1 + \frac{1(r+1)}{2} = r.$$

In particular, we can apply Theorem 1.6 to $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{\ell+1},\{\omega_{\alpha_1},\omega_{\alpha_2},\ldots,\omega_{\alpha_n}\},r}$, argue that the divisor is nonzero. The associated auxiliary bundles are

$$\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2,\omega_1^{2(r+1)},r}, \text{ and } \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{\ell-1},\{\omega_{\alpha_1-1},\ldots,\omega_{\alpha_n-1}\},r}.$$

In particular, by Theorem 1.6, $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{\ell+1},\{\omega_{\alpha_1},\omega_{\alpha_2},\ldots,\omega_{\alpha_n}\},r}$ will be nonzero as long as both auxiliary bundles have positive rank. The first bundle can be easily shown to have rank one using Witten's Dictionary. Since $\sum_{i=1}^n(\alpha_i - 1) = (r+1)(\ell-1)$ it follows from [Fak12] that $\operatorname{rk}\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{\ell-1},\{\omega_{\alpha_1-1},\omega_{\alpha_2-1},\ldots,\omega_{\alpha_n-1}\},1} = 1$ and hence $\operatorname{rk}\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{\ell-1},\{\omega_{\alpha_1-1},\omega_{\alpha_2-1},\ldots,\omega_{\alpha_n-1}\},r} \geq 1$.

5.2. **Associated maps.** By [BGM14, Proposition 5.3], the $\mathbb{D}_{\vec{\alpha}} = \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1},(\alpha_1\omega_1,\alpha_2\omega_1,\ldots,\alpha_n\omega_1),\ell}$ have zero intersection with all the F-Curves which are contracted by the Hassett maps

$$\rho_{\mathcal{A}} : \overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathcal{A}}, \text{ where } \quad \mathcal{A} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n), \text{ and } a_i = \frac{\alpha_i}{r+\ell}.$$

In particular, the morphisms given by these divisors factor through $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$.

As we'll see next in 5.3, there are choices of $\vec{\alpha}$ for which the divisors $\mathbb{D}_{\vec{\alpha}}$ contract more curves that the morphisms $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$, and so while their associated maps factor through the Hassett reduction morphisms, their images are not isomorphic to $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathcal{A}}$.

5.3. **A particular example.** For a simple example, consider $\mathbb{D} = c_1\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3,(3\omega_1)^6,5}$. Here $r = 2$, $\ell = 5$, and $n = 6$. Since $\mathbb{D}$ is a critical level divisor, we have that

$$c_1(\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3,(3\omega_1)^6,5}) = c_1(\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_6,(\omega_3)^6,2}).$$

And as above, the theta and critical levels coincide for the divisor $c_1(\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_6,(\omega_3)^6,2})$, and so we may apply Theorem 1.6 to check it is nonzero. The two auxiliary bundles are $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2,(\omega_1)^6,2}$, which has rank one, and $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_4,(\omega_2)^6,2}$, which has rank 11, as is easily checked by a computation [Swi11]. One also checks that the class of the divisor is $2B_2 + 3B_3$, where B_i is the sum of boundary classes of $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,6}$ indexed by sets of size i. The Hassett contraction $\rho_{\mathcal{A}} : \overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,\mathcal{A}}$ where $\mathcal{A} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$, and $a_i = \frac{3}{7}$, will not contract any F-curves, on the other hand, it is easy to see that $2B_2 + 3B_3$ contracts the curve $F_{1,1,1,3}$.

5.4. **The example $r = 1$ and $\vec{\alpha} = (1,1,1,1)$.** In case $r = 1$, and $\vec{\alpha} = (1,1,1,1)$, we have the divisor $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2,\omega_1^4,\ell} = 2(\delta_{12} + \delta_{13} + \delta_{14})$ on $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,4}$. This is also the first member of a related family of divisors $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2,\omega_1^n,\ell}$ on $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$, for $n = 2k$ studied in [GJMS12]. Like our family, $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2,\omega_1^n,\ell}$ was shown to give rise to maps which factor through maps to Hassett spaces but contract more curves than the $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$.

6. Appendix

6.1. Flag varieties and line bundles.

Definition 6.1. *Let V be a vector space of rank $r+1$.*

(1) *A complete flag on V is a filtration of V by vector subspaces*

$$F_\bullet : 0 \subsetneq F_1 \subsetneq F_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq F_{r+1} = V.$$

The space of complete flags on V is denoted by $\mathrm{Fl}(V)$.

(2) *The determinant line $\wedge^{r+1}V$ is denoted by $\det V$.*

(3) *For non-negative integers $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_{r+1}$, define a Young diagram $\lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \lambda^{(r+1)})$ by*

$$\lambda^{(a)} = \nu_a + \nu_{a+1} + \cdots + \nu_{r+1}$$

and a line bundle $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ over $\mathrm{Fl}(V)$, whose fiber over $F_\bullet$ is

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda(V, F_\bullet) = \mathcal{L}_\lambda(F_\bullet) = (\det F_1)^{-\nu_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes (\det F_{r+1})^{-\nu_{r+1}}.$$

(4) *We fix a collection of n distinct and ordered points $S = \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1$, and for a vector bundle $\mathcal{W}$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$, define $\mathrm{Fl}_S(\mathcal{W}) = \prod_{p \in \mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Fl}(\mathcal{W}_p)$. If $\mathcal{E} \in \mathrm{Fl}_S(\mathcal{W})$, we will assume that it is written in the form $\mathcal{E} = (E_\bullet^p \mid p \in \mathrm{S})$.*

6.2. Conformal blocks as generalized theta functions.

Associated to the data $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in P_\ell(\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1})$, we can form a line bundle $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}, \tilde{\ell}, \vec{\lambda})$ on $\mathcal{P}ar_{r+1}$. The fiber over a point $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F}, \gamma)$ is a tensor product

$$D(\mathcal{V})^{\tilde{\ell}} \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_i}(\mathcal{V}_{p_i}, F_\bullet^{p_i}),$$

where $D(\mathcal{V})$ is the determinant of cohomology of $\mathcal{V}$ i.e., the line $\det H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{V}) \otimes \det H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{V})^*$ and the lines $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}_{p_i}, F_\bullet^{p_i})$ are as in Definition 6.1.

It is known that the space of generalized theta functions is canonically identified (up-to scalars) with the dual of the space of conformal blocks (see the survey [Sor96], and [Pau96]). Let $x = (\mathbb{P}^1, p_1, \ldots, p_n) \in \mathrm{M}_{0,n}$.

$$H^0(\mathcal{P}ar_{r+1}, \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}, \tilde{\ell}, \vec{\lambda})) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}, \vec{\lambda}, \tilde{\ell}})_x^*. \tag{6.1}$$

6.3. Non zero sections of conformal blocks bundles.

A bundle $\mathcal{V} = \oplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$ is said to be evenly split if $|a_i - a_j| \leq 1$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq r$. Up to isomorphism, there is an unique evenly split bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1$ of a fixed degree.

Consider $x = (\mathbb{P}^1, p_1, \ldots, p_n) \in \mathrm{M}_{0,n}$. The space $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}, \vec{\lambda}, \ell}|_x^*$ is identified with $H^0(\mathcal{P}ar_{r+1}, \mathcal{P}_{r+1})$ where $\mathcal{P}_{r+1}$ is the line bundle $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}, \ell, \vec{\lambda})$.

Lemma 6.2. *The following are equivalent (see* [Bel08]*)*

(1) $\mathrm{rk}\, \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}, \vec{\lambda}, \ell} \neq 0$.

(2) *There exist vector bundles* $\mathcal{V}$ *and* $\mathcal{Q}$ *of degrees* 0 *and* $-D$ *respectively, and ranks* $r+1$ *and rank* ℓ *respectively, and* $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Fl}_S(\mathcal{V})$ *and* $\mathcal{G} \in \mathrm{Fl}_S(\mathcal{Q})$ *so that the vector space*

$$\{\phi_p \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{Q}) \mid \phi(F^p_a) \subseteq G^p_{\ell-\lambda^{(a)}_i}, p = p_i \in S, a \in [r+1]\} \tag{6.2}$$

is zero.

This is a special case of Proposition 5.5 from [Bel08]. For a fixed $y = (\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{G})$ we may think of (6.2) as defining a section $s_y \in H^0(\mathcal{P}ar_{r+1}, \mathcal{P}_{r+1})$ (see [BGM14] for a construction, also see [Bel04, Oud11]). The section s_y does not vanish at $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F}, \gamma) \in \mathcal{P}ar_{r+1}$ if and only if (6.2) is zero.

The following is used in the proof of Proposition 4.2.

Proposition 6.3. *Suppose* $\vec{\nu}$ *is an* n*-tuple of dominant integral weights in* $P_\ell(\mathfrak{sl}_{\tilde{r}})$ *(*$\vec{\nu}$ *may not be normalized). Suppose* $\tilde{r} = a + b$ *with* a *and* b *positive integers. Let* $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ *and* $B = (B_1, \dots, B_n)$ *be* n*-tuples of subsets of* $[\tilde{r}]$ *such that* $|A_i| = a$ *and* $|B_i| = b$*,* $[\tilde{r}] = A_i \cup B_i$*,* $i = 1, \dots, n$*. Let* $\vec{\nu}_A = (\nu_{1,A_1}, \dots, \nu_{n,A_n})$ *be the* n*-tuple of* $a \times \ell$ *Young diagrams formed by taking the* A_i*-rows of* ν_i *for each* i *(similarly* $\vec{\nu}_B$*). Suppose*

(1)

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{r}} \sum_{i=1}^n |\nu_i| = \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=1}^n |\nu_{i,A_i}| = \frac{1}{b} \sum_{i=1}^n |\nu_{i,B_i}| = \delta \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{6.3}$$

(2) *If* $a > 1$*, then* $\mathrm{rk}\, \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_a, \ell, \vec{\nu}_A} \neq 0$.
(3) *If* $b > 1$*, then* $\mathrm{rk}\, \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_b, \ell, \vec{\nu}_B} \neq 0$.

Then $\mathrm{rk}\, \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{\tilde{r}}, \ell, \vec{\nu}} \neq 0$.

Proof. We deduce the proof from (one of the forms of) the quantum generalization of the Horn conjecture [Bel08, Proposition 3.4].

For every dominant integral weight λ of $\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}$ define a diagonal matrix $\alpha(\lambda, \ell) = \alpha_{ij}$ in the special unitary group $\mathrm{SU}(r+1)$ with diagonal entries

$$\alpha_{aa} = c^{-1} \exp(\frac{2\pi i \lambda^{(a)}}{\ell}),\ c = \exp(\frac{2\pi i |\lambda|}{\ell(r+1)}).$$

Consider a conformal blocks bundle $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}, \vec{\lambda}, \ell}$ such that $(r+1)$ divides $\sum |\lambda_i|$. We now use the proposition below (by forming suitable direct sums): If A_i in $\mathrm{U}(a)$ and $B_i \in \mathrm{U}(b)$, with $A_1 A_2 \dots A_n = \gamma\, \mathrm{Id}$ and $B_1 B_2 \dots B_n = \gamma\, \mathrm{Id}$, then we form matrices $C_i \in \mathrm{U}(a+b)$ with $C_1 C_2 \dots C_n = \gamma\, \mathrm{Id}$ by direct sum. If $b = 1$, we let $B_i = (b_i)$ be the 1×1 matrices with $b_i = \exp(\frac{2\pi i \nu^{(1)}_{i,B_i}}{\ell})$. □

Proposition 6.4. [Bel08, Proposition 3.4] *The following are equivalent:*

(1) $\mathrm{rk}\, \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}, \vec{\lambda}, \ell} \neq 0$.
(2) *There exist matrices* $A_i \in \mathrm{SU}(r+1)$ *with* $A_1 A_2 \dots A_n = \mathrm{Id}$ *where each* A_i *is conjugate to* $\alpha(\lambda_i, \ell)$.

(3) *There exist matrices* A_i *in the unitary group* $\mathrm{U}(r+1)$ *with* $A_1A_2\dots A_n = \gamma\,\mathrm{Id}$ *where each* A_i *is conjugate to a diagonal matrix with entries* $\exp(\frac{2\pi i\lambda_i^{(a)}}{\ell})$*, and* $\gamma = \exp(\frac{2\pi i\sum_i|\lambda_i|}{\ell(r+1)})$.

References

[AGS10] Valery Alexeev, Angela Gibney, and David Swinarski, *Higher level conformal blocks on* $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$ *from* $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc., to appear (2010). arXiv:1011.6659 [math.AG].

[AGSS11] Maxim Arap, Angela Gibney, Jim Stankewicz, and David Swinarski, $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ *level* 1 *Conformal blocks divisors on* $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$, International Math Research Notices (2011). arXiv:1009.4664 [math.AG].

[Bea96] Arnaud Beauville, *Conformal blocks, fusion rules and the Verlinde formula*, (Ramat Gan, 1993), Israel Math. Conf. Proc., vol. 9, Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan, 1996, pp. 75–96. MR1360497 (97f:17025)

[Bel04] Prakash Belkale, *Invariant theory of* $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ *and intersection theory of Grassmannians*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **69** (2004), 3709–3721.

[Bel07] ———, *Geometric proof of a conjecture of Fulton*, Adv. Math. **216** (2007), no. 1, 346–357. MR2353260 (2009a:20073)

[Bel08] ———, *Quantum generalization of the Horn conjecture*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (2008), no. 2, 365–408. MR2373354 (2008m:14109)

[Bel10] ———, *The tangent space to an enumerative problem*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians. **II** (2010), 405–426.

[BGM14] Prakash Belkale, Angela Gibney, and Swarnava Mukhopadhyay, *Vanishing and Identities of conformal blocks divisors* (2014). See arXiv:1308.4906 [math.AG], to appear in Algebraic Geometry.

[BK13] Prakash Belkale and Shrawan Kumar, *The multiplicative eigenvalue problem and deformed quantum cohomology* (2013). arXiv:1310.3191 [math.AG].

[BCFF97] A. Bertram, I. Ciocan-Fontanine, and W. Fulton, *Quantum multiplication of Schur Polynomials* (1997).

[CT14] Ana-Maria Castravet and Jenia Tevelev, $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ *is not a Mori Dream Space*, arXiv:1311.7673 [math.AG] (2014).

[Fak12] Najmuddin Fakhruddin, *Chern classes of conformal blocks*, Compact moduli spaces and vector bundles, Contemp. Math., vol. 564, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012, pp. 145–176. MR2894632

[FSV95] B. L. Feigin, V. V. Schechtman, and A. N. Varchenko, *On algebraic equations satisfied by hypergeometric correlators in WZW models. II*, Comm. Math. Phys. **170** (1995), no. 1, 219–247. MR1331699, (97g:81064)

[Ful00] William Fulton, *Eigenvalues, invariant factors, highest weights, and Schubert calculus*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **37** (2000), no. 3, 209–249 (electronic). MR1754641 (2001g:15023)

[Gia13] Noah Giansiracusa, *Conformal blocks and rational normal curves*, Journal of Algebraic Geometry **22** (2013), no. 4, 773–793.

[GG12] Noah Giansiracusa and Angela Gibney, *The cone of type A, level 1 conformal block divisors*, Adv. Math. **231** (2012).

[GJM11] Noah Giansiracusa, David Jensen, and Han-Bom Moon, *GIT compactifications of* $\mathrm{M}_{0,n}$ *and flips*, Advances in Mathematics, preliminarily accepted (2011). arXiv:1112.0232v1 [math.AG].

[Gib09] Angela Gibney, *Numerical criteria for divisors on* $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_g$ *to be ample*, Compos. Math. **145** (2009), no. 5, 1227–1248. MR2551995

[GJMS12] Angela Gibney, David Jensen, Han-Bom Moon, and David Swinarski, *Veronese quotient models of* $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$ *and conformal blocks*, Michigan Math Journal, to appear (2012). arXiv:1208.2438 [math.AG].

[GK14] J. González and K. Karu, *Some non-finitely generated Cox rings*, ArXiv e-prints **1407.6344** (2014).

[Has03] Brendan Hassett, *Moduli spaces of weighted pointed stable curves*, Adv. Math. **173** (2003), no. 2, 316–352.

[Kaz14] Anna Kazanova, *On S_n invariant conformal blocks vector bundles of rank one on $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$* (2014). arXiv:1404.5845v1 [math.AG].

[KM96] Seán Keel and James McKernan, *Contractible Extremal Rays on $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0,n}$* (1996). alg-geom/9607009v1.

[KM11] Y.-H. Kiem and H.-B. Moon, *Moduli spaces of weighted pointed stable rational curves via GIT*, Osaka J. Math. **48** (2011), 1115–1140.

[KTW04] Allen Knutson, Terence Tao, and Christopher Woodward, *The honeycomb model of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ tensor products. II. Puzzles determine facets of the Littlewood-Richardson cone*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (2004), no. 1, 19–48. MR2015329 (2005f:14105)

[Man09] Christopher Manon, *The Algebra of Conformal blocks* (2009). arXiv:0910.0577v6 [math.AG].

[Moo11] H.-B. Moon, *Birational Geometry of moduli spaces of curves of genus zero* (2011). PhD Thesis.

[MO07] Alina Marian and Dragos Oprea, *The level-rank duality for non-abelian theta functions.*, Invent. Math. **168** (2007), no. 2, 225–247. URL: `http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00222-006-0032-z`.

[Muk14] Swarnava Mukhopadhyay, *Remarks on level one conformal block divisors*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **352** (2014), no. 3, 179-182.

[Oud11] Rémy Oudompheng, *Rank-level duality for conformal blocks of the linear group*, J. Algebraic Geom. **20** (2011), no. 3, 559–597.

[Pau96] Christian Pauly, *Espaces de modules de fibrés paraboliques et blocs conformes*, Duke Math. J. **84** (1996), no. 1, 217–235. MR1394754 (97h:14022)

[Sor96] Christoph Sorger, *La formule de Verlinde*, Astérisque **237** (1996), Exp. No. 794, 3, 87–114. Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1994/95. MR1423621 (98f:14009)

[Swi10] David Swinarski, `ConformalBlocks`*: a Macaulay2 package for computing conformal block divisors* (2010). Version 1.1, http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/.

[Swi11] ———, *sl_2 conformal block divisors and the nef cone of $\bar{M}_{0,n}$* (2011). arXiv:1107.5331 [math.AG].

[Tsu93] Yoshifumi. Tsuchimoto, *On the coordinate-free description of conformal blocks.*, J. Math. Kyoto. Univ. **1** (1993), 29-49 (eng).

[TUY89] Akihiro Tsuchiya, Kenji Ueno, and Yasuhiko Yamada, *Conformal field theory on universal family of stable curves with gauge symmetries*, Integrable systems in quantum field theory and statistical mechanics, 1989, pp. 459–566. MR1048605,(92a:81191)

[Wit95] Edward Witten, *The Verlinde algebra and the cohomology of the Grassmannian.*, Geometry, topology **IV** (1995), 357–422.

P.B.: Department of Mathematics, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599
email: belkale@email.unc.edu

A.G.: Department of Mathematics, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602
email: agibney@math.uga.edu

S.M.: Department of Mathematics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742
email: swarnava@umd.edu